

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<b>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>5</sup> :</b> <b>C12Q 1/00, 1/26, C12N 11/08</b> <b>C12N 11/06, G01N 27/26</b>	<b>A1</b>	<b>(11) International Publication Number:</b> <b>WO 93/06237</b> <b>(43) International Publication Date:</b> 1 April 1993 (01.04.93)
<b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/US92/07784 <b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 14 September 1992 (14.09.92)  <b>(30) Priority data:</b> 760,450 13 September 1991 (13.09.91) US  <b>(71) Applicant:</b> ALLAGE ASSOCIATES, INC. [US/US]; 1273 Quarry Commons Drive, Yardley, PA 19067-0415 (US).  <b>(72) Inventor:</b> GUISEPPI-ELIE, Anthony ; 1273 Quarry Com- mons Drive, Yardley, PA 19067-0415 (US).  <b>(74) Agent:</b> FIELDS, Scott, J.; Synnestvedt & Lechner, 2600 One Reading Center, 1101 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA 19107-2950 (US).		<b>(81) Designated States:</b> CA, JP, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, SE).  <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i>
<b>(54) Title:</b> ANALYTICAL METHOD FOR CHEMICAL AND BIOSENSOR DEVICES FORMED FROM ELECTROAC- TIVE POLYMER THIN FILMS  <b>(57) Abstract</b>  An analytical methodology for the interrogation, capture, and analysis of the chemical and biosensor responses of chemoresistive chemical and biosensor devices based on chemically modified and derivatized electroactive polymer films is disclosed. The principle of operation and the details of performance of this analytical method when applied to chemical and biosensor devices based on electroactive polyaniline and polypyrrole is also disclosed. Several chemoresistive chemical and biosensor devices based on electroactive polypyrrole and polyaniline are similarly disclosed. Chemoresistive chemical and biosensor devices are described in which transducer-active polyaniline and polypyrrole films are fabricated on Interdigitated Microsensor Electrode (IME) devices.		

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia
AU	Australia	FR	France	MR	Mauritania
BB	Barbados	GA	Gabon	MW	Malawi
BE	Belgium	GB	United Kingdom	NL	Netherlands
BF	Burkina Faso	GN	Guinea	NO	Norway
BG	Bulgaria	GR	Greece	NZ	New Zealand
BJ	Benin	HU	Hungary	PL	Poland
BR	Brazil	IE	Ireland	PT	Portugal
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	RO	Romania
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	RU	Russian Federation
CG	Congo	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CH	Switzerland	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LI	Liechtenstein	SK	Slovak Republic
CM	Cameroun	LK	Sri Lanka	SN	Senegal
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	SU	Soviet Union
CZ	Czech Republic	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
DE	Germany	MG	Madagascar	TG	Togo
DK	Denmark	MI	Mali	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain			US	United States of America

**ANALYTICAL METHOD FOR CHEMICAL AND BIOSENSOR DEVICES  
FORMED FROM ELECTROACTIVE POLYMER THIN FILMS**

5

**RELATED APPLICATIONS AND CLAIM OF PRIORITY**

The present invention is a continuation-in-part of  
copen ding U.S. Serial No. 322,670 entitled Surface  
Functionalized and Derivatized Conducting Polymers and Methods  
for Making the Same.

10

**BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

For many years, enzyme assays and immunoassays have been  
successfully used in a wide variety of clinical, veterinary, and  
bio-analytical laboratory applications. Increasingly, as the  
availability of monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies has  
expanded, the range of applications to which these antibodies  
has extended include environmental monitoring applications  
(Vanderlaan et al., 1988). Enzyme and antibody assay technology  
has been used to measure drug abuse, hormones, to monitor  
therapeutic drugs and to screen for environmental pollutants.  
These tests are generally simple to use, sensitive, and  
inexpensive. They are typically, however, colorimetric assays  
and are at best semi-quantitative. Biosensors offer an  
alternative format for the performance of established enzyme  
assays and immunoassays and create new opportunities for the  
application of established assays to continuous monitoring  
situations. Biosensors also offer distinct advantages (Taylor,  
1987) in being very rapid (sub-second response rates), with

25

30

- 2 -

considerably improved limits of detection (typically ppb), are quantitative, and provide electronic outputs that may be integrated into simple data loggers or complex feedback control systems.

5 Parallel significant advances in microelectronics fabrication technology and biotechnology have created a unique interface of materials science and biology. Biosensors are measurement devices that detect and discriminate among molecules or substances of interest (analytes) through the recognition  
10 reactions of biologically active molecules (Lowe, 1985). The biosensor is a microelectronic device or chip, while biorecognition molecules are bioactive proteins. The biosensor measures the concentration of an analyte and produces a proportionate, electrical signal. In biosensors, biologically  
15 active molecules provide the needed molecular specificity and confer the ability to detect and discriminate among various substances to be analyzed. Microfabricated solid state devices provide the means for electrical transduction. Together and through their association, these two elements combine to produce  
20 an electrically based measurement signal that is the result of the physical chemical changes associated with biorecognition and serve as the raw output data of the biosensor test (Thompson and Krull, 1991). Figure 1 schematically illustrates the layout for a typical biosensor instrument. Typically, the  
25 biosensor instrument consists of three functionally distinct parts; the biotransducer or biosensor device, the device interrogator and signal processor, and the output device.

Biosensor devices are typically configured as shown in the schematic of Figure 2. Biosensor devices typically contain two  
30 basic parts. The first part is an organic biorecognition layer that contains the biorecognition molecules. The second part is a microdevice which provides the electrical signal and is an electrical or electronically based component. Together, these components form the active element or biotransducer of the  
35 biosensor instrument. The biologically active component may be a thin organic film of enzyme, immunochemically active protein, stabilized receptor, a tissue slice, or a cell fragment. This

- 3 -

part provides the molecular specificity or recognition of the analyte present in the test sample or process stream. The biologically active part of the transducer converts the analyte into another substance or produces some physical chemical change which can be detected by the electrically or electronically active part of the biotransducer. The electronically or electrically active part of the biotransducer accordingly produces a current or voltage change in response to the appearance of the product of the biological transmutation or conversion reaction. The current or voltage change is an analog signal which may be amplified locally before going on to the second part of the biosensor instrument -- the signal processor.

Biosensor devices are integral components of biosensor instruments. The signal processor captures the signal from the biotransducer, may amplify, smooth or perform some mathematical operations on the data, then present it to the next part of the instrument -- the output. The output section is responsible for presenting the acquired data in a form suitable for the senses and compatible with other information sources to produce sound and timely decision making by the end user.

This general description of biosensor technology encompasses a wide range of bioanalytical devices, some of which are pH or ion selective electrodes, while others are complicated optical devices.

Electroactive polymer biosensor devices must transmute the chemical potential energy associated with the concentration of an analyte into a proportionate, measurable, electrical signal. The specificity of these electroactive polymer biosensor devices is derived for the biorecognition reactions of immobilized enzymes, enzyme-linked antibody conjugates, and stabilized receptors. Several approaches to the use of electroactive polymers are possible. These are potentiometric, amperometric, chemoresistive, and methods based upon field effect phenomena. In all these methods the electroactive polymer serves as an active, functional, and integral part of the bio-transducer.

Potentiometric biosensor devices derive their responses from the changes in the steady state potential which accompanies

- 4 -

the change in redox composition of the electroactive polymer film. This is a direct detection method not requiring the input of externally generated energy. Films may be free standing or supported by ohmic contact to an inert electrode. Changes in extent of oxidation are reflected in changes in the population of polaron or bipolaron states and accompanying counterion ingress. Thus electroactive polymer films therefore serve as ionophore containing membranes of ion-selective electrodes (ISEs). The membrane potential of the electroactive polymer is directly related to some potentiometrically measurable ionic product of the biorecognition reaction (Thompson et al., 1986). In general, all electroactive polymers display some measurable change in steady state or open circuit potential as a function of doping level. This is true over some specified range of redox composition.

Band-gap, p-type semiconducting polymers such as polyacetylene and polypyrrole can change their open-circuit electrode potentials through a maximum of the difference between the mid-gap energy (intrinsic semiconductor) and the conduction band edge, and typically, this change is between the mid-gap energy and the Fermi energy,  $E_F - E_{CB}$  (Morrison, 1980). For polyacetylene, the open circuit potential is an invariant 0.45V vs SCE for  $CH_x$  compositions above the insulator to semiconductor/metal transition (ca. 4 mol% of dopant per  $-C=C-$  unit) (Guiseppi-Elie and Wnek, 1990). Thus the maximum voltage change (over all dopant compositions) associated with these materials is  $1/2$  the band gap,  $E_g$ , or ca. 0.70 V. Direct potentiometric detection of nucleotides co-deposited in electropolymerized polypyrrole has been reported by Shimidzu (1987) and potentiometric measurements of the concentrations of various anions by electropolymerized polypyrrole films have been reported by Dong et al. (1988). Both these works suggest interesting and unusual behavior of electroactive polypyrrole in potentiometric measurement mode. Since n-type semiconducting band-gap polymers are violently reactive in aqueous environments, their applicability to biosensors is necessarily without consideration.

- 5 -

Redox polymers such as poly(vinylferrocene) and poly(viologen) establish an open circuit potential which reflects the equilibria between oxidized and reduced forms of the redox-active moiety (Lewis et al., 1984). These devices work by indirectly linking the biorecognition reaction to a change in redox composition of the film. Any signature redox active species which can alter the equilibrium at the polymer modified electrode surface provides a means for biotransduction. These systems all show behavior that can be predicted by the Nernst equation and electrochemical kinetics. Because potentiometric devices based on electroactive polymers are steady state devices they are subject to limitations arising from errors such as interfering ions, non-specific protein binding (Collins and Janata, 1982), surface charge adsorption, and the sensitivity limitations imposed by Band theory and the 59mV/decade sensitivity of the Nernst equation (Thompson and Krull, 1991).

Amperometric biosensors formed from electroactive polymers are by far the most common generic approach to biosensors (Janata and Bezegh, 1988). With such devices, two principles dominate: i) redox mediation, and ii) electrocatalysis. Redox mediation implies the oxidoreduction of an electroactive species other than the one of interest. Umana and Waller (1986) used the amperometric reduction of iodine ( $I_2 + 2e^- \rightarrow 2I^-$ ) mediated through the  $Mo^{IV}$ -catalyzed reaction of  $H_2O_2$  with iodide ( $H_2O_2 + 2H^+ + 2I^- \rightarrow I_2 + 2H_2O$ ) under aerobic conditions. Iwakura et al. (1988) achieved direct redox mediation with ferrocenecarboxylic acid under anaerobic conditions. Similar devices have since also been demonstrated by Caglar and Wnek (1991). In such devices the electroactive polymer functions simply as a retaining matrix for the mediator and is itself not actively involved in transduction. The related works of Heller (1990) and Hale et al. (1991) use oligomers and polymers of ferrocene-based redox mediators as active participants to transduction (Gorton et al., 1990). These are true transducer-active polymer films as they both mediate and directly transmute the redox activity of the enzyme to amperometrically discharged current at metallic or

- 6 -

carbon paste electrode.

The simplest amperometric biosensors of this type are those based on the discharge of a redox active bi-products of the biochemical transmutation reaction. The early glucose biosensors which amperometrically discharged  $H_2O_2$  are of this type (Clark, 1987). There is evidence that electroactive polyaniline modified inert electrodes can electrocatalyze the reduction of ascorbic acid (Hepel, 1990) and hydrogen peroxide (Guisseppi-Elie and Wilson, 1990), both of which are associated with biochemical reactions. Polyaniline modified platinum electrodes have also been reported to electrocatalyze the oxidation of formic acid (Gholamian et al., 1987). The potential for using electroactive polymer modified electrodes for electrocatalysis in Clark-type amperometric biosensors is evident but little explored.

Chemoresistive biosensor devices based on electroactive polymer membrane films take advantage of the very large and rapid change in electrical conductivity which accompanies "doping" of the electroactive polymer (Baughman and Shacklette, 1990). The principle of operation in this approach is based on the measurement of biochemically modulated changes in the electronic resistance of the membrane film. The membrane films, fabricated on solid state devices, change their electrical impedance characteristics in response to the biological reactions with which they are associated. Chemoresistive biosensor devices are composed of a fully contiguous membrane film of electroactive polymer fabricated over the interdigit area of Interdigitated Microsensor Electrodes (IMEs) or array microelectrodes. IMEs generally have digit dimensions and separation distances which range from 1 to 20 microns. These dimensions are readily achievable with commonly available microlithography technology. The number of digits and the digit length together define the meander length for the device and this is selected to match the resistance range of the particular electroactive polymer being employed. The short separation distance and long meander length found on IMEs allow the generation of high electric fields with modest voltages while allowing effective work with highly resistive membrane



- 7 -

materials. The device sensitivity is provided by the large (up to 12 orders of magnitude) (Frommer and Chance, 1986), dynamic (ms -  $\mu$ s response) (Thackeray et al., 1985) chemoresistance range of chemically sensitive, electroactive polymer membrane films.

Chemoresistive sensor devices based on electroactive polymer films were introduced by Paul et al. (1985). These redox dependant chemoresistance devices emphasized voltage modulation of the chemoresistive response. Thackeray et al. (1985) describe a device based on poly(3-methylthiophene) which illustrates a key strength of this method - The low detection limit ( $< 10^{-15}$  moles of oxidant) to elicit a response above noise level and the significant amplification possible with proper design of IME and fabrication of the chemically sensitive film (Lofton et al., 1986). While the foregoing illustrates the general principles of chemoresistance detection the electroactive polymer films used were not conferred with biospecificity and are accordingly not biosensors. Taylor et al. (1988) report receptor-based biosensors using the chemoresistance principle but the polymers used were not electroactive (Taylor, 1989). Malmros et al. (1987/88) describe a chemoresistance biosensor based on free-standing polyacetylene. This report suggests that the measured chemoresistance response was due primarily to changes in ionic resistance attendant to increases in the extent of wetting of Shirakawa polyacetylene upon doping with aqueous  $H_2O_2$  or  $I_2$ . Chemoresistance biosensors have also been reported by Watson et al. (1987/88) and Cullen et al. (1990).

These films are well known to bridge substantial device scale distances (Focke et al. 1989). Using established methods designed to confer general chemical and biospecificity to these films (Guisseppi-Elie, 1988) and using these devices in a kinetic mode (Karube, 1987) opens up additional possibilities for FETs (Garnier et al., 1991).

While there have been a large number of prior art biosensors, it would be desirable to have a system and method which can be utilized for the interrogation, capture and

- 8 -

analysis of chemoresistive sensor responses.

It is thus an object of the present invention to provide a novel analytical method which can be utilized for the interrogation, capture and analysis of chemoresistive sensor responses.

It is a further object of the present invention to provide novel components which together comprise an electroactive polymer sensor interrogation system.

It is still yet a further object of the present invention to provide chemical and biosensor devices formed from chemically modified and derivatized electroactive polymer films

It is still an additional object of the present invention to provide an analytical method for monitoring the time rate of change (kinetic) or extent of change (equilibrium) of the resistance of the electroactive polymer film as it spontaneously reacts with a redox active analyte to which it has been rendered specific

These and other objects of the present invention will become apparent from the following summary and detailed description which follow.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides an analytical method for the interrogation, capture, and analysis of the chemoresistive sensor responses of chemical and biosensor devices using the electroactive polymers disclosed and claimed in co-pending U.S. Serial No. 332,607. Chemoresistive sensor responses are the changes in electrical resistance which result when an electroactive and electrically conducting polymer is made to react with a redox active analyte to which it is rendered specific.

This analytical method may be implemented using components which together comprise an Electroactive Polymer Sensor Interrogation System (hereinafter "EPSIS"). EPSIS comprises an analog electronic instrument with software that are designed to work together to execute a sequenced series of steps for the extraction of these chemically or biochemically induced, electrically-based sensor responses. A system capable of

- 9 -

carrying out the analytical methodology of the present invention is marketed as the Model 240 U EPSIS by AAI/Abtech of Yardley, Pennsylvania.

5 The present invention is also directed to chemical and biosensor devices formed from chemically modified and derivatized electroactive polymer films. Previous inventions have described processes and the products resulting from the conferment of chemical and biological specificity to electroactive polymers. The present invention is directed  
10 toward the application of these devices to chemical and biosensor applications and to an analytical method for the use of these devices.

In one embodiment of this invention, chemical and biosensor devices are formed by the fabrication of electroactive polymer  
15 films on interdigitated microsensor electrodes. Films such as polypyrrole, polyaniline, and polythiophene are grown by electropolymerization from solutions of the corresponding monomer. Films are grown to bridge the interdigit space of the devices. Films may also be cast from solution or colloidal  
20 suspension, by dip-coating or spin-coating, where appropriate. Through various chemical modification and derivatization schemes (U.S. Patent Serial No. 322,670) these devices may be functionalized and so conferred with chemical and/or biological specificity. The analytical method of this invention calls for  
25 monitoring the time rate of change (kinetic) or extent of change (equilibrium) of the resistance of the electroactive polymer film as it spontaneously reacts with a redox active analyte to which it has been rendered specific.

Key elements of the analytical method are:

- 30 1) The use of an initialization potential which serves to establish a unique redox composition of the film and hence the set the electrical resistivity, open-circuit potential of the device.
- 35 2) The use of very small or non-pertubating net voltage pulses, on the order of 5 - 25mV, to interrogate the dynamically changing chemoresistance of the device.
- 3) A voltage pulse sequence which floats or disconnects the

- 10 -

sensor device during the pulse delay or OFF cycle.

4) The measurement of the open circuit potential during the pulse delay or OFF cycle and the use of this measured potential to serve as the base potential to which subsequent pulse potentials are added.

The analytical method involves three sequenced phases resulting and an analytically significant result which is related to analyte concentration. These phases are Pre-Initialization, Initialization, and Interrogation. The Interrogation phase comprises three distinct steps to its execution; Voltage Pulse Application, Current Sample, and Device Float. The method of the present invention utilizes a real-time read of the open-circuit potential of the device versus the externally placed reference electrode, such as a  $\text{Ag}^0/\text{AgCl}, 3\text{MCl}^-$ . This value of potential becomes an important part of the data record for the particular experiment and is used to qualify the integrity and suitability of the device for the subsequent steps.

The method then superposes an interrogation pulse voltage on the open circuit potential to inquire as to changes in electrical resistivity. In one example, a chemical sensor is formed from the fabrication of a fully contiguous film of electroactive polyaniline over the interdigit space of an interdigitated microsensor electrode (IME). The resulting device is shown to be responsive to hydrogen peroxide and to produce a linear response over the range  $10^{-7}$  to  $10^{-2}$  M  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  in 0.2M HCl.

In a second example, a mediated chemical sensor is formed from the fabrication of a fully contiguous film of electroactive polypyrrole over the interdigit space of an interdigitated microsensor electrode (IME). The resulting device is shown to be responsive to the mediated concentration of iodine produced by the action of hydrogen peroxide on iodide ion in the presence of  $\text{Mo}^{(VI)}$ . This device is shown to responsive to hydrogen peroxide and to respond linearly over the range  $10^{-4}$  M to  $10^{-2}$  M  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  in the presence of  $10^{-2}$  M  $\text{I}^-$  and  $10^{-3}$  M  $\text{Mo}^{(VI)}$  in pH 6.0, 0.1 M phosphate buffered potassium chloride.

In still yet another example, a glucose biosensor is formed

from the fabrication of a fully contiguous film of glucose-functionalized electroactive polypyrrole over the interdigit space of an interdigitated microsensor electrode. The resulting device is made to respond to the concentration of glucose through the biorecognition reaction of glucose oxidase. The device is shown to be responsive to a redox inactive molecule such as glucose through the biotransmutation reaction of glucose oxidase. A kinetic dose/response curve for the chemoresistive glucose biosensor based on the system (PPy/PVAVS/GOx) is shown to be linear for variations in glucose concentration over the range 0.1 to 20  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  in pH 6.0, 0.1 M phosphate buffered potassium chloride at 20°C.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is an block diagram which illustrates the three basic elements of the archetypical chemical and/or biosensor instrument of the current invention.

FIG. 2 illustrates the functional elements of the chemical or biosensor device.

FIG. 3 illustrates the various designs for the chemical and biosensor devices formed from Electroactive Polymer Microsensor Electrodes

FIG. 4 is a section view illustrating the various electrode connections to the chemical and biosensor cell.

FIG. 5 is a schematic of the Electroactive Polymer Sensor Interrogation System which may be utilized to perform the analytic method of the present invention.

FIG. 6A is a schematic illustration of the potentiometric Pre-Initialization Circuit employed by EPSIS during Phase 1 of operation.

FIG. 6B is a schematic illustration of the potentiostatic Initialization Circuit employed by EPSIS during Phase 2 of operation.

FIG. 6C is a schematic illustration of the Sensor Interrogation or Chemoresistance Measurement Circuit employed by EPSIS during Phase 3 of operation.

FIG. 7 is a graph showing the electrical resistivity and the anodic charge capacity (measured by potential step

- 12 -

coulometry) of polyaniline films as a function of the impressed potential vs SCE in 0.2M HCl.

FIG. 8 is a graph showing the electrical resistivity (expressed as coulombs of charge) and the poise potential in V vs SCE versus the externally impressed potential vs SCE in pH 6.0. 0.1 M phosphate buffered potassium chloride.

FIG. 9 is a graph showing a typical differential chemoresistive response of a polyaniline-based sensor to 1 mM hydrogen peroxide in 0.2 M HCl relative to its response in 0.2M HCl.

FIG. 10 is an illustration of a typical chemical sensor reaction, SCHEME 1.

FIG. 11 is a graph showing the kinetic chemoresistive responses of the polyaniline-based sensor illustrated in FIG 9 to various concentrations of hydrogen peroxide in 0.2M HCl.

FIG. 12 is an illustration of a typical mediated chemical sensor reaction, SCHEME 2.

FIG. 13 is a graph showing the kinetic chemoresistive responses of a polypyrrole-based sensor (PPy/PVAVS) to various concentrations of solution phase hydrogen peroxide in the presence of  $I^-$  and  $Mo^{(VI)}$  at 20°C.

FIG. 14 is an illustration of a typical mediated biosensor reaction, SCHEME 3.

FIG. 15 is a graph showing the kinetic dose/response curve for the chemoresistive glucose biosensor based on the system (PPy/PVAVS/GOx) for variations in glucose concentration over the range 0.1 to 20  $\mu$ g/ml in pH 6.0, 0.1 M phosphate buffered potassium chloride at 20°C.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides a method for obtaining analytically significant responses from chemical and biosensor devices based on electroactive polymer films. In the analytical method of the present invention, the use of an initialization potential to fix the starting redox composition, and consequently also fix the ionic and electronic resistivity and the electrode potential of the device, the use of non-pertubating voltage pulses for interrogation, the use of a float

- 13 -

or disconnect period between the application of voltage pulses, the measurement and update of the device potential during each float period, and the use of this measured float potential to establish the new pulse potential for subsequent pulse application are utilized.

The initialization potential is potentiostatically applied for a period sufficient to fix the redox composition of the polymer film. This potential may be anodic or cathodic and may fix the composition to be predominantly oxidized and conducting or predominantly reduced and non-conducting. Typically, the potential is chosen to reduce the polymer film, render it non-conducting or resistive, and fix its redox composition to be predominantly reduced. It is understood by those skilled in the art, that for this class of material such initialization also fixes the color of the device film as well as the geometric dimensions of the film. The length of time required for initialization depends upon the nature of the film, the thickness of the film, the total active or exposed area of the film in contact with the electrolyte, and the nature of the electrolyte. The initialization period is typically 8 to 30 secs and may be 1 sec to 3 mins. Alternatively, initialization may be made to proceed until a limiting background current is achieved or a particular threshold of current achieved. Immediately subsequent to initialization, an interrogating voltage pulse is applied to the device. This voltage pulse is intended to reveal the electrical conductivity of the electroactive polymer film. This pulse must therefore be non-perturbing to the film and should not itself serve to alter the redox composition or conductivity of the film. The voltage pulse may be in the range + or - 5 to 25 mV and may be 1 to 100ms duration and is typically 10mV for a 50ms duration. Larger voltages may be applied and for longer durations. The resulting current indicates the electrical conductivity of the film bearing device and may be mathematically converted to absolute or normalized resistivity or conductivity.

At the end of the interrogating voltage pulse period the device is disconnected from the voltage source, is permitted to

- 14 -

electrically float, and to spontaneously react with the analyte species of its test environment. During this float period the device responds with a change in redox composition, ionic and electrical conductivity, and electrode potential. The float period is typically ten times the pulse period and may be 10ms to 1000ms duration and is typically 500ms. During the float period, the open circuit or poise potential of the individual electrodes of the device are measured versus the externally placed  $\text{Ag/AgCl, 3MCl}^-$  reference electrode. No current is drawn or voltage applied during this measurement. The measured float potential is used as the base potential value for the application of the subsequent interrogation voltage pulse.

The 10mV pulse potential is added to the float potential such that the subsequently applied potential differs from the device open circuit potential by only the value of the interrogation pulse potential. As an example, if the measured open circuit potential of the device during the float period is found to be 345mV vs the  $\text{Ag/AgCl, 3MCl}^-$  reference electrode and the desired pulse voltage is 10mV, then the subsequent voltage pulse applied to the device is 355mV vs  $\text{Ag/AgCl, 3MCl}^-$  for a net voltage applied of 10mV.

Chemical and biosensor devices are formed from electroactive polymer films of polyaniline and polypyrrole and are shown to produce analytically significant results. This invention is further illustrated by reference to the following non-limiting examples. These examples serve to illustrate the wide utility of the method as it is successfully applied to chemical as well as biosensors.

#### INTERDIGITATED MICROSENSOR ELECTRODES-IME Devices:

Interdigitated Microsensor Electrodes (IMEs) are inert, array microelectrodes designed for the simultaneous interrogation of the electrical, electrochemical, and optical properties of thin polymer films and coatings. Microfabricated from magnetron sputtered gold over and an adhesion metal of chromium or titanium, on an insulating ceramic substrate of glass, quartz, lithium niobate or passivated silicon, these devices were developed for application to chemoresistive



- 15 -

biosensor assays. The IME devices are inert, rugged, durable and versatile and occur in three different electrode configurations shown in Figure 3; Monolith (M), Combined Differential (CD), and Full Differential (FD). These IMEs consist of 50 digit or finger pairs. Each digit is 0.4985 cm long and 15  $\mu$ m wide and is separated by 15  $\mu$ m spaces on a chip which is typically 1.0 cm(W) x 1.5 cm(L) x 0.05 cm(T) with a total exposed metal area of 0.569 cm<sup>2</sup>.

#### **ELECTROACTIVE POLYMER MICROSENSOR ELECTRODES - EPME Devices:**

Electroactive Polymer Microsensor Devices (EPMEs) serve as the underlying transducers for the fabrication of biosensor devices. Transducer-Active Films based on polyaniline, polypyrrole, polythiophene, or other electroactive polymer is fabricated as a fully contiguous film over the device. Film fabrication occurs by electropolymerization of aniline, pyrrole or thiophene monomer from electrolyte solutions. The electropolymerization medium contains polymeric counter-anions and supporting electrolyte and may contain surfactants, levelling agents, bioactive proteins, catalysts and other agents directed at enhancing the performance or properties of the film. Electropolymerization is carried out directly at IME devices resulting in EPME devices.

#### **The Electroactive Polymer Sensor Interrogation System (EPSIS).**

Electroactive polymer sensor interrogation was accomplished with the EPSIS 240 U system. EPSIS is a sensor interrogation, data capture, and analysis instrumentation package for the development of biosensor assays using Biospecific EPME devices. The EPSIS 240 U unit is commercially available through AAI\Abtech, Inc., 1273 Quarry Commons Drive, Yardley, PA 19067. While the present analytical methodology was carried out using the AAI/Abtech EPSIS 240 U system, it is to be appreciated that the focus of the present invention is on the analytical methodology and that this methodology may be performed by a wide variety of apparatus. EPSIS uses the Biospecific EPME device in a biosensor cell comprising a platinum counter.

- 16 -

electrode and an externally placed miniature Ag/AgCl/3MCl<sup>-</sup> reference electrode. Both the counter electrode and the reference electrode functions may be fabricated on the same EPME device chip which carries the biospecific agents. For the purpose of illustration and for experiments performed here, these are shown as separate electrodes in the biosensor cell arrangement illustrated in Figure 4.

EPSIS instrumentation consists of the EPSIS 240 U sensor interrogator and EPSISOFT<sup>TM</sup> software installed on a PC XT computer outfitted with a 12 bit AD card. A schematic representation is shown in Figure 5. The EPSIS 240 U consists of a potentiometric circuit, a potentiostatic circuit and a chemoresistance interrogation circuit illustrated in Figures 6A-6C. These instrument functions are integrated into a sensor interrogation system designed to reveal the chemoresistance dynamics of electroactive polymer films as they respond to biologically modulated changes in electrical conductivity.

Because the biospecific, transducer-active films display very dramatic changes in electrical impedance as a function of extent of oxidoreduction, the biospecific EPME device may be used as a highly sensitive biotransducer. Figure 7, as an example, illustrates the changes in the electrical resistivity and the anodic oxidative capacity of electroactive polyaniline films as a function of electrode potential in 0.20 M HCl. Similar results are shown for polypyrrole (Chidsey and Murray, 1986). The method senses the kinetic and equilibrium changes in chemoresistance of these devices as the resistivity of the device is modulated by reaction with the signature products of the biorecognition molecules with which they are derivatized.

The general procedure for the interrogation of the electroactive polymer microsensor devices (EPMEs), interdigitated microsensor electrodes (IMEs) or other chemoresistive device based on electroactive polymer films, involves three separate, sequenced phases that are integrated into a sensor analysis scheme. These phases are listed below.

1. Pre-Initialization Phase
2. Initialization Phase

- 17 -

### 3. Interrogation

The three phases, executed in sequence, represent a single complete cycle for the successful extraction of raw sensor response data. The raw data extracted should be relatable to the 5 desired measurement objective, i.e. the activities (chemical potential) of analytes to which the device is sensitive. Subsequent data reduction, analysis, and presentation follows these two phases.

Pre-Initialization Phase: Initially, a real-time read of the 10 open circuit potential of the device versus a suitable externally placed reference electrode such as a  $\text{Ag}^\circ/\text{AgCl}$ ,  $3\text{MCl}$ .

This potential serves to qualify the device for subsequent initialization and interrogation and therefore serves as a diagnostic tool as to the suitability of your device for 15 further experimentation.

During Pre-Initialization all two, three or four electrodes of the microsensor device are internally shorted to serve as the common input for the potentiometric circuit shown on This is illustrated in Figure 6A. The potentiometric circuit 20 is a high input impedance FET operational amplifier.

Electrode potential, the Initialization Potential, to the internally shorted microsensor device. All of the two, three or four electrodes of the microsensor device are simultaneously polarized to this potential. The device is maintained at this 25 fixed potential, relative to the externally placed reference electrode for the user specified length of time, the Initialization Period.

Initialization conditions or reconditions the microsensor device to an initial or starting extent of oxidation or reduction 30 from which accurate sensor response measurements may be made during the subsequent interrogation phase. During initialization, the chemically sensitive polymer film may be partially or completely oxidized or reduced. This process, in effect, standardizes the starting electrical conductivity of the 35 chemoresistive film. The instantaneously measured open circuit rest potential of the device following initialization is hypothetically the same as the initialization potential.

- 18 -

Initialization therefore serves to establish a common precondition which is the same for each device, the same from one device to another, and the same from one measurement to another with the same device.

5 During Initialization all two, three or four electrodes of the microsensor device remain temporarily shorted as EPSIS electronically switches from a potentiometric to a potentiostatic mode and the initialization potential is applied. This configuration is illustrated in Figure 6B. The applied  
10 initialization potential is referenced to a suitable, externally placed reference electrode, such as SCE or  $\text{Ag}^{\circ}/\text{AgCl}$ . The initialization current is carried by a suitable inert, counter or auxiliary electrode. The VALUE of potential used is dependent upon the analyte to be measured, the background environment or  
15 matrix of this analyte, the redox characteristics of the chemically sensitive film of the device, and the extent of oxidation/reduction desired for this conditioning. Initialization is a redox process that involves facile electron transfer and results in a standardized chemoresistive film.

20 The chemoresistive microsensor device is then as to its dynamically changing state of electrical conductivity (chemoresistance). This is achieved by applying a pulsed DC voltage between the individual electrodes of the microsensor device and sampling the resulting current.

25 Interrogation probes the device for its electrically based response to the externally derived chemical or biological stimuli. The stimulus is in all cases the chemical potential of the analyte. The device response is a change in its electrically based material property, modulated by the chemical potential of  
30 the analyte under test. Interrogation reveals raw data on the electrical condition of the device and in particular is concerned with either the extent of variation of the resistive property of the device from its initialization condition or with the time rate of variation of the resistance of the device away from its  
35 initialization condition.

During interrogation as shown in Figure 6C, the two, three or four leads of the microsensor device are temporarily

- 19 -

disconnected. The now independent leads give rise to two separate interrogation circuits that share a common input electrode, COM(1,2)3 (in a bridge configuration). In this electrode configuration, the pulsed DC voltage is applied between  
5 COM(1,2)3 and ANA1 and simultaneously between COM(1,2)3 and REF2. The microsensor device portion of the interrogation circuit is treated as a complex impedance from which we desire to know only of the equivalent DC resistance. The desired mode of interrogation is a pulsed square wave technique. During  
10 interrogation a discontinuous pulse voltage train is applied between the common electrode, COM (1,2)3, and ANA1 and REF2. The voltage pulse should be small and non-perturbing (approx. 25 mV). The voltage pulse is applied relative to the open circuit potential of the device and is superposed on the open circuit  
15 potential. The duty cycle is established such that the time between pulses is long compared to the applied pulse width, typically 10 times.

The pulse that is applied may be positive or negative, but should not be an alternating positive and negative value. The  
20 duty cycle of the pulse must be sufficient to allow for the dissipation of any Faradaic or capacitative charging in the device.

During the voltage pulse delay period of the interrogation cycle, the electrodes of the microsensor device are briefly  
25 reconnected to provide a measurement of the open circuit or rest potential. The electrode configuration is the same as the connect or potentiometric mode of the Pre-Initialization Phase. This open circuit potential serves as the referenced basis for the subsequent voltage pulse. The open circuit potential of the  
30 device is measured at the end of each pulse delay. This potential then serves as the referenced basis for the subsequent pulse. This cycle is repeated again and again for the number of cycles selected for interrogation and until the end of the experiment. The resulting interrogation current is sampled over  
35 a fixed period of the applied voltage pulse. Current sampling in this manner negates the contribution of any current transients that may result from Faradic charging processes or other

- 20 -

polarization processes in the device or in the background electrolyte. The sampled current is directed to a current-to-voltage converter, then integrated and presented as coulombs of charge over the time base.

5 The external sensitivity setting you select will be determined by a number of experimental variables; the thickness of any chemoresistive film fabricated upon the sensor device, the concentration of electroactive species in the vicinity of the microsensor device, the active device area (and to some extent,  
10 its shape), the pre-integration delay and the integration period. The selection of too large an electrode area or too long an integration period -- both of which may lead to amplifier saturation and to unusable output signal -- are common experimental errors.

15

#### EXAMPLE 2

Electropolymerization of aniline (An) and cyclic voltammetric characterization of polyaniline (PAN) films were  
20 carried out using an EG&G PAR 173 Potentiostat/Galvanostat outfitted with a PAR 179 Digital Coulometer. Where needed, potentiodynamic sweeps were accomplished by interfacing the PAR 173 to a PAR 175 Universal Programmer. Cyclic voltammograms were recorded on an Esterline Angus XY' 540 Recorder. Aniline  
25 ( $C_6H_5NH_2$ ) was supplied by Aldrich and used after distillation under reduced nitrogen pressure. The solvents acetone and 2-propanol were supplied by Aldrich and used as supplied. Omnisolve water was supplied by VWR Scientific. Hydrogen peroxide was supplied by Sigma. Phosphate (0.1M) buffered potassium chloride  
30 (pH 7.2) solutions were prepared according to procedures of the Handbook of Physics and Chemistry. Pt foil electrodes were fabricated in these laboratories and saturated calomel electrodes (SCE) were supplied by Fisher. Electropolymerization was done at Interdigitated Microsensor Electrodes (IMEs) Model 1550-CD-P.  
35 The IME 1550-CD-P devices were cleaned in a Branson 1200 Ultrasonic Cleaner by sequential washing - first in acetone, followed by 2-propanol and finally in Omnisolve triply distilled

- 21 -

water. Chemically cleaned microsensor devices were then made the working electrode in a three electrode electrochemical cell in which a similarly cleaned Pt foil electrode served as the counter electrode and an SCE served as the reference electrode. Cathodic cleaning of the IME 1550-CD-P was carried out in pH 7.2 phosphate buffered potassium chloride by cycling between -2.0V and -1.2V vs SCE for eight minutes. To promote adhesion of the electroactive polyniline film, the cathodically cleaned device was subsequently immersed for 30 minutes in a freshly prepared 0.2mg/ml solution of 4-aminothiophenol (Aldrich) in Omnisolve water followed by rinsing in Omnisolve water. Polyaniline films were fabricated by electropolymerization from aqueous solutions of aniline (An) monomer in the presence of HCl. Films were fabricated potentiostatically at potentials of 0.65V - 0.8 V and typically was 0.65 V vs SCE or potentiodynamically over the range -0.2 to +0.65 V vs SCE at 50mV/sec.

Electropolymerization solutions were typically 1 M aniline in 0.2M HCl in triply distilled water. The result in all cases was a fully contiguous film of electroactive polyaniline fabricated over the interdigit areas and adhered to a 1550-CD-P EPME device.

### EXAMPLE 3

Electropolymerization of pyrrole (Py) and cyclic voltammetric characterization of polypyrrole (PPy) films were carried out using an EG&G PAR 173 Potentiostat/Galvanostat outfitted with a PAR 179 Digital Coulometer. Where needed, potentiodynamic sweeps were accomplished by interfacing the PAR 173 to a PAR 175 Universal Programmer. Cyclic voltammograms were recorded on an Esterline Angus XY' 540 Recorder. Pyrrole ( $C_4H_5NH$ ), potassium iodide, ammonium molybdate(VI) tetrahydrate, and the solvents; acetone, 2-propanol, were supplied by Aldrich and used as supplied. Hydrogen peroxide was supplied by Sigma. Phosphate (0.1M) buffered potassium chloride (pH 6.0) solutions were prepared in the standard way. Pt foil electrodes were fabricated in these laboratories and saturated calomel electrodes (SCE) were supplied by Fisher. Electropolymerization was done at Interdigitated Microsensor Electrodes (IMEs) Model 1550-CD-P. The

- 22 -

IME 1550-CD-P devices were cleaned in a Branson 1200 Ultrasonic Cleaner by sequential washing - first in acetone, followed by 2-propanol and finally in Omnisolve triply distilled water. Chemically cleaned microsensor devices were then made the working  
5 electrode in a three electrode electrochemical cell in which a similarly cleaned Pt foil electrode served as the counter electrode and an SCE served as the reference electrode. Cathodic cleaning of the IME 1550-CD-P was carried out in pH 7.2 phosphate buffered potassium chloride by cycling between -2.0V and -1.2V  
10 vs SCE for eight minutes.

To promote adhesion of the electroactive polypyrrole films, the cathodically cleaned device was subsequently immersed for ca. 1 hr in a freshly prepared 100µg/ml solution of potassium p-toluenethiosulfonate (Aldrich) in Omnisolve water followed by  
15 rinsing in Omnisolve water. Polypyrrole films were fabricated by electropolymerization from aqueous solutions of pyrrole (Py) monomer in the presence of poly(vinylacetamide-vinylsulphonate, 60:40) (PVAVS) at potentials of 0.65V - 0.8 V vs SCE. Electropolymerization solutions were typically  $10^{-2}$  M pyrrole in  
20 triply distilled water. The poly(vinylacetamide-vinylsulphonate 60:40) was of MW 20,000 - 80,000 supplied by Polysciences and was prepared to a final concentration which varied from  $10^{-3}$  to  $10^{-2}$  M in repeat units. Where needed, ammonium molybdate hexahydrate was  
25 also added to the electropolymerization solution to produce a final concentration which was  $10^{-3}$  M  $\text{Mo}^{(VI)}$ . The result in all cases was a fully contiguous electroactive polymer blend of PPy/PVAVS fabricated over the interdigit areas and adhered to a 1550-CD-P EPME device.

#### EXAMPLE 4

30 The EPME device is conferred with appropriate biospecificity for application as a biosensor. By conferring biological specificity to the device, the materials property change of the transducer-active polymer film may be linked directly or indirectly to the concentrations of specific analytes in the  
35 vicinity of the device. A contemporary problem in biosensor R&D is the development of approaches, methods and techniques for conferring biospecificity of response to these chemically



- 23 -

sensitive films. Approaches based on the use of macrocycles, permselective membranes, electrochemical pre-concentration techniques are used to confer general chemical specificity. Approaches based on the use of enzymes, enzyme-linked antibodies, and stabilized receptors give rise to a wide range of possible chemoresistive biosensors. The conferment of biospecificity to the transducer action of these transducer-active polymer films is readily achieved by derivatization. Derivatization methods may be based on adsorption, occlusion, co-deposition and specific immobilization of bioactive molecules to these films. Methods for the surface modification, functionalization, and derivatization by specific immobilization of biologically active molecules to the surfaces of transducer-active polymers are easily developed and applied by the methods set forth in U.S. Serial No. 322,670 incorporated herein by reference.

Biospecificity was conferred to electroactive polypyrrole (PPy) films by electropolymerization of pyrrole (Py) monomer in the presence of the enzyme glucose oxidase (GOx) and the polymer poly(vinylacetamide-vinylsulphonate, 60:40) (PVAVS) at potentials of 0.65V - 0.8 V vs SCE. Electropolymerization solutions were typically  $10^{-2}$  M pyrrole in triply distilled water. The GOx was *Aspergillus niger* Type VII-S of 129K units/g activity (Sigma) prepared to a final concentration of 1mg/ml. The poly(vinyl acetamide-vinylsulphonate 60:40) was of MW 20,000 - 80,000 supplied by Polysciences and was prepared to a final concentration which varied from  $10^{-3}$  to  $10^{-2}$  M in repeat units. Where needed, ammonium molybdate hexahydrate was also added to the electropolymerization solution to produce a final concentration which was  $10^{-3}$  M  $\text{Mo}^{(VI)}$ . The result in all cases was a fully contiguous electroactive polymer blend of PPy/PVAVS/GOx fabricated over the interdigit areas and adhered to a 1550-CD-P EPME device.

#### EXAMPLE 5. General Chemical Sensor Response

Polyaniline-based sensor devices prepared according to Example 2 were evaluated for their chemically induced sensor responses using the EPSIS system Described in Example 1. The three step interrogation phase of EPSIS is repeated for a user-

- 24 -

selected number of pulse cycles applied in sequence. The integrated device current for each pulse is then plotted directly to the data display area of the EPSISOFT Main Menu Screen and is shown as microcoulombs of charge versus interrogation time in 5 seconds. A typical chemoresistive sensor response of a polyaniline membrane film to 1 mM  $H_2O_2$  in 0.2M HCl along with a similar blank response (0.2M HCl) is displayed in Figure 9. The response is for the PAN film initialized at -100 mV vs Ag/AgCl, 3MCl<sup>-</sup> for 30 sec and interrogated at 10mV pulse potential 10 with a pulse width of 50ms and rest period of 500 ms. The Figure shows the response obtained over 164 such three-step, pulse cycles or for a total of 90.7 sec. Typically there is a brief induction period followed by a sharp rise in the chemoresistance of the film. Exploration (in 50mV increments) of various 15 initialization potentials, from the open circuit potential of the mixed emeraldine base (0.43 V vs Ag/AgCl, 3MCl<sup>-</sup>) down to the fully reduced leucoemeraldine base (-0.30V vs Ag/AgCl, 3MCl<sup>-</sup>), produced the largest kinetic response for initializations at -0.1V vs Ag/AgCl, 3MCl<sup>-</sup>. Initialization at -100 mV vs Ag/AgCl, 3MCl<sup>-</sup> reduces 20 the PAN film and sets its equilibrium redox composition and attendant electrical conductivity according to Figure 7. The time rate of change of the electrical conductivity of PAN film appears to be largest from this composition. Removal of this potential allows the film to spontaneously react with the 25 hydrogen peroxide in its immediate environment as shown in Figure 10, Scheme I. Subsequent immediate three-step, DC pulse interrogation reveals the concomitant changes in electrical conductivity of the film as it reacts with hydrogen peroxide and modulates its properties.

30 At the end of this interrogation cycle the membrane film may be re-initialized and a similar response reproducibly obtained. Kinetic response data is derived for the initial rate of change of the chemoresistive response. Ideally, this is the initial slope of the response curve or it may be the amount of change 35 after a specified period of interrogation time. The latter approach is used to analyze the kinetic responses of PAN films to various concentrations of  $H_2O_2$ . Figure 9 shows the sensor

- 25 -

calibration curve of differential response rate obtained for concentrations over the range  $10^{-7}$  to  $10^{-2}$  M  $H_2O_2$  in 0.2 M HCl.

The chemoresistive PAN devices are seen to be linear over the range  $10^{-7}$  to  $10^{-2}$  M  $H_2O_2$  in 0.2 M HCl with good sensitivity and a detection limit which is below  $10^{-7}$  M  $H_2O_2$ . The stability of these devices is of paramount importance if they are to be used commercially and in continuous monitoring applications. Preliminary evidence from cyclic voltammetric characterization of PAN films suggests that the device performance may be altered by continuous exposure to high concentrations ( $>10^{-3}$  M) of hydrogen peroxide.

#### EXAMPLE 6

Biospecific responses result from linking the chemoresistive device with the biorecognition reaction of a biologically active molecule. The simplest demonstrable case is that for an oxidoreductase enzyme such as glucose oxidase which produces hydrogen peroxide and hence elicits a chemical response similar to that described preceding. The direct reaction of hydrogen peroxide with polypyrrole and polyacetylene has been found to favor chemical oxidation over charge transfer reaction. For this reason mediation is found to be necessary. Figure 12, Scheme II, shows the approach used by Umana and Waller (1986) and applied here to chemoresistive signal detection.

In this scheme hydrogen peroxide reacts with iodide in the  $Mo^{(VI)}$  catalyzed generation of iodine. Iodine then reacts with the polypyrrole film effecting an increase in its electrical conductivity. In this scheme the polypyrrole is a direct participant to transduction as its electrical properties are indirectly modulated by redox charge transfer with the analyte of interest. Test solutions were prepared to  $10^{-2}$  M I<sup>-</sup> and  $10^{-3}$  M  $Mo^{(VI)}$  in 2ml volumes of pH 6.0 phosphate buffer. Incremental additions of hydrogen peroxide produced the calibration plot shown in Figure 10.

The chemoresistive system PPy/PVAVS is seen to be capable of detecting hydrogen peroxide down to  $10^{-4}$  M with good sensitivity. This system could therefore be used to detect the non-redox-active molecule glucose through the biorecognition

- 26 -

reaction of the enzyme glucose oxidase.

#### EXAMPLE 7. The Glucose Biosensor

Glucose oxidase is a very stable, structurally rigid glycoprotein with an approximate globular diameter of ca. 86 Å (Nakamura, et al., 1976). The two redox active Flavin Adenine Dinucleotide (FAD/FADH<sub>2</sub>) centers of the enzyme are located deep within the glycoprotein shell (Worthington Manual, 1977). For this reason, direct charge transfer between these redox centers and the redox active polymer films of the current biosensor devices is not possible (Heller and Degani, 1987). However, use can be made of the reactions which serve to couple the biorecognition reaction of the enzyme with the biospecific hydrogen peroxide response described preceding.

As shown in Figure 14, Scheme III, glucose oxidase readily oxidizes glucose to gluconic acid while the redox active FAD cofactor is reduced to FADH<sub>2</sub>. Under aerobic conditions the FAD is regenerated by solution phase, diffusible, molecular dioxygen which is itself reduced to hydrogen peroxide. In the current biosensor device, the hydrogen peroxide is nascently produced within the polymer matrix where it oxidizes the electroactive polymer from its initialized or reduced redox composition to a more conducting redox composition. The dynamically changing chemoresistance of the device is followed as described previously. Biospecific chemoresistive responses of glucose biosensors formed from electroactive polypyrrole and conferred with the biospecificity of the enzyme glucose oxidase (PPy/PVAVS/GOx) were obtained for films initialized at -250 mV vs Ag/AgCl, 3MCl<sup>-</sup> for 60 sec followed by interrogation with a 10mV pulse potential of pulse width of 50ms and rest period of 500 ms. The responses show an initial quiescent period (corresponding to the blank or background response) prior to addition of the substrate dose. Addition of the substrate is followed by a further brief induction period then by rapid change in the device chemoresistance. Response saturation occurs after about two minutes. The kinetic response taken as the initial rate of change of chemoresistance following dose addition was measured for various glucose concentrations over the range of 0.1 to 20.0

- 27 -

mg/l and is presented in Figure 11 as a calibration plot. A linear relationship was observed between the initial rate and the logarithm of the glucose concentration in the range 0.1 to 20  $\mu$ g/ml glucose.

5        Glucose biosensor probes prepared in the above manner were found to be stable for up to six weeks when stored at 5°C in pH 6.0 phosphate buffered potassium chloride. The leaching of GOx from the membrane film appears to be the major source of reduced activity. Methods to specifically immobilize the enzyme are being  
10 developed.

While the present invention has been described with reference to the enclosed Figures and detailed description, it is to be appreciated that other embodiments fulfill the spirit and scope of the present invention, and that the true nature and scope of  
15 the present invention is to be determined with reference to the claims appended hereto.

- 28 -

## CLAIMS

1. A sensor device comprising an indicator reagent immobilized upon an electroactive polymer film substrate formed by the following steps:
- 5       forming an electroactive polymer film substrate on an interdigitated microsensor array electrode; and  
          conferring biological specificity to the device by the immobilization of biorecognition reagents to the film.
- 10       2. A sensor device comprising an indicator reagent immobilized upon an electroactive polymer film substrate formed by the following steps:
- forming an electroactive polymer film substrate on an interdigitated microsensor array electrode; and  
15       conferring chemical specificity to the device by the immobilization of catalyst reagent.
3. A method for the interrogation of analytically significant data from a chemical or biosensor device formed from  
20 electroactive polymer films, said method comprising the following steps;
- (a) measuring the open-circuit potential of said device;  
      (b) applying a potentiostatic initialization potential to said device which fixes the redox composition and initializes the  
25 conductivity of the device; and  
      (c) measuring the electrical conductivity of the device as it responds and reacts with an analyte.
4. The method of claim 3 wherein said electrical  
30 conductivity measurement is made by the following steps:
- (a) applying a non-perturbating voltage pulse of between 1 to 50 mV for a specified pulse duration;  
      (b) removing the voltage pulse for a floating period following the pulse duration, such period being about 10 times  
35 the period of said pulse duration;  
      (c) making a potentiometric measurement of the open circuit potential of the device during the floating period; and

- 29 -

(d) applying a subsequent non-perturbating voltage pulse relative to the measured open-circuit potential.

5. The method of claim 4 wherein said method steps recited 5 in claim 4 are repeated for a test duration.

6. A process for the immobilization of an indicator reagent upon a surface of an electroactive polymeric substrate, the process comprising:

10 (a) reacting the electroactive substrate with at least one chemical modification reagent to produce an activated surface on the substrate, the activated surface being associated with a predetermined electrical conductivity;

(b) reacting the activated surface on the substrate with 15 a linking agent; and

(c) reacting the linking agent with an indicator reagent such that the indicator reagent is chemically bound to the activated surface of the substrate and such that the predetermined electrical conductivity of the film is altered by 20 the reactions of the indicator reagent.


7. A method for detecting the presence of an analyte comprising the following steps:

(a) measuring the electrical conductivity of an 25 electroactive polymeric substrate;


(b) derivatizing the surface of said polymeric substrate with an indicator reagent;

(c) reacting the indicator reagent with an analyte to which it is specific; and

30 (d) remeasuring the electrical conductivity of said electroactive polymeric substrate during the time course of its reaction.

*FIG. 1*



*FIG. 2*

1550FD




FIG. 3c

1550CD




FIG. 3b

1550M




FIG. 3a




FIG. 4

5 / 15




FIG. 5




FIG. 6 A PRE-INITIALIZATION - Potentiometric Circuit




FIG. 6 B INITIALIZATION - Potentiostatic Circuit




FIG. 6 C INTERROGATION - Discontinuous Pulse Chronocoulometry




FIG. 7

8 / 15


CHEMORESISTANCE RESPONSE ( $\mu\text{C}$ )

FIG. 8

9/15




FIG. 9



10 / 15

## SCHEME I




FIG. 10

11/15




FIG. 11

## SCHEME II





FIG. 12

13 / 15

*FIG. 13*

## SCHEME III




FIG. 14

15 / 15




FIG. 15

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/US92/07784

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(5) : C12Q 1/00, 1/26; C12N 11/08, 11/06; G01N 27/26

US CL : 435/4, 25, 180, 181, 817; 204/403

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 435/4, 25, 174, 177, 180, 181, 817; 204/403

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US, A, 4,444,892 (Malmros) 24 April 1984, entire document.	1-7
Y	US, A, 4,721,601 (Wrighton et al) 26 January 1988, entire document.	1-2
Y,P	US, A, 4,839,017 (Taniguchi et al) 13 June 1989, entire document.	1-2
Y	US, A, 4,622,362 (Rembaum) 11 November 1986, entire document.	6
Y	US, A, 4,352,884 (Nakashima et al) 05 October 1982, entire document.	6
Y	US, A, 4,371,612 (Matsumoto et al) 01 February 1983, entire document.	6

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:	*T	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
*A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance	*X	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
*E earlier document published on or after the international filing date	*Y	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
*L document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	*Z	document member of the same patent family
*O document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		
*P document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed		

Date of the actual completion of the international search 03 DECEMBER 1992	Date of mailing of the international search report 14 DEC 1992
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231	Authorized officer DAVID M. NAFF
Facsimile No. NOT APPLICABLE	Telephone No. (703) 308-0196